# PURE FIELDS OF DEGREE 9 WITH CLASS NUMBER PRIME TO 3 

by Colin D. WALTER

In a well-known paper, Honda [5] found the precise rational conditions on $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ which determine when $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt[3]{n})$ has class number divisible by 3 . More recently, Endô [3] has tackled this problem for $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt[9]{n})$ using the same techniques: a class number relation and the calculation of an ambiguous class number by norm residue symbols. His results are incomplete, although most of the residue symbols required to solve the problem are given by him. Here the main theorem (5.5) extends his work so that with only a few possible exceptions the necessary and sufficient rational conditions are now known for $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt[9]{n})$ to have class number prime to 3.

## 1. Class number relations.

Let $\mathrm{M}_{2} / \mathrm{K}_{0}$ be a normal extension of number fields whose Galois group is

$$
\mathrm{G}=\left\langle\sigma, \tau \mid \sigma^{l^{2}}=\tau^{\ell(l-1)}=1, \sigma \tau=\tau \sigma^{r}\right\rangle
$$

where $\ell$ is an odd prime and $r$ is an integer of order $\ell(\ell-1)$ modulo $\ell^{2}$. R. Brauer [2] has shown that a class number relation can be obtained from any relation between the characters of $G$ induced from the unit characters of its subgroups. To find all such relationships it is necessary to specify the conjugacy classes of subgroups.

A Sylow $\ell$-subgroup of any subgroup of $G$ is contained in the normal Sylow $\ell$-subgroup $\mathrm{G}_{\ell}=\left\langle\sigma, \tau^{\ell-1}\right\rangle$ of G . The cyclic subgroups of $\mathrm{G}_{\ell}$ with order $\ell^{2}$ are $\langle\sigma\rangle \triangleleft \mathrm{G}$ and $\left\langle\sigma \tau^{(\ell-1) i}\right\rangle$ for $0<i<\ell$. The latter subgroups are conjugate under powers of $\tau$. The $\ell^{2}$ elements of $\mathrm{G}_{\ell}$ which are not of order $\ell^{2}$ form the unique non-cyclic subgroup of G with order $\ell^{2}$, viz. $\left\langle\sigma^{\ell}, \tau^{\ell-1}\right\rangle \triangleleft$ G. Hence the subgroups of order $\ell$ lie in $\left\langle\sigma^{\ell}, \tau^{\ell-1}\right\rangle$ and are $\left\langle\sigma^{\ell}\right\rangle \triangleleft \mathrm{G}$ and $\left\langle\sigma^{i i} \tau^{\ell-1}\right\rangle$ for $0 \leq i<\ell$. The latter subgroups are conjugate under powers of $\tau$. Because the image of a subgroup in $G / G_{\ell}$ is cyclic, the subgroup is generated from one of the above $\ell$-groups together with an element of order dividing $\ell-1$ which normalises the $\ell$-group. The only such elements have the form $\sigma^{i} \tau^{d \ell}$ for $0<d<\ell-1$ or 1 itself. Replacing $\sigma^{i} \tau^{d \ell}$
by a suitable power and a conjugate ensures that all subgroups are obtained by adjoining $\tau^{d \ell}$ where $d \mid(\ell-1)$ and taking all conjugates of the resulting subgroups.

So for $d \mid \ell-1$ the unique subgroup of order $\ell^{3}(\ell-1) / d$ is $\left\langle\sigma, \tau^{d}\right\rangle \triangleleft \mathrm{G}$; the subgroups of order $\ell^{2}(\ell-1) / d$ are $\left\langle\sigma, \tau^{d}\right\rangle \triangleleft \mathrm{G}$, the $\ell-1$ conjugates of $\left\langle\sigma \tau^{\ell-1}\right\rangle$ when $d=\ell-1$ and the 1 or $\ell$ conjugates of $\left\langle\sigma^{\ell}, \tau^{d}\right\rangle$; the subgroups of order $\ell(\ell-1) / d$ are the 1 or $\ell$ conjugates of $\left\langle\sigma^{\ell}, \tau^{d \ell}\right\rangle$ and the $\ell$ or $\ell^{2}$ conjugates of $\left\langle\tau^{d}\right\rangle$; and the subgroups of order $(\ell-1) / d$ are the $\ell$ or $\ell^{2}$ conjugates of $\left\langle\tau^{d \lambda}\right\rangle$. Each conjugacy class is represented in the following diagram as $d$ varies over proper divisors of $\ell-1$ :


The corresponding subfields can be named thus :

and the subscript $d$ will be omitted when $d=1$.

The total number of classes is $6 t+1$ where $t$ is the number of divisors of $\ell-1$, and of these $2 t+3$ are cyclic. This means there are $4 t-2$ independent relations between the induced unit characters $\chi(\Omega)$ from the subgroup fixing $\Omega$. They can be expressed in the following way and are easily verified :
(1.4) $\quad d^{\prime} \chi\left(\mathrm{J}_{2 d}\right)-d^{\prime} \chi\left(\mathrm{J}_{1 d}\right) \quad=\chi\left(\mathrm{M}_{2}\right)-\chi\left(\mathrm{M}_{1}\right)$.

Here $d^{\prime}$ is defined by $d d^{\prime}=\ell-1$ and $d$ is a proper divisor of $\ell-1$. The remaining two independent relations are :

$$
\begin{align*}
\ell \chi\left(\mathrm{L}_{2}\right)-\ell \chi\left(\mathrm{L}_{1}\right) & =\chi\left(\mathrm{M}_{2}\right)-\chi\left(\mathrm{M}_{1}\right)  \tag{1.5}\\
(\ell-\mathrm{l}) \chi(\mathrm{N})-(\ell-1) \chi\left(\mathrm{L}_{0}\right) & =\chi\left(\mathrm{M}_{1}\right)-\chi\left(\mathrm{M}_{0}\right) . \tag{1.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Each of these relations is of standard type for which the corresponding class number relation is known. The first four are of Frobenius type (see [8]) and the last two are of Kuroda type (see [9]), whilst equations (1.3) and (1.4) add to give a further Frobenius type relation.

Suppose $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ is such that $\mathrm{K}_{2}=\mathbf{Q}\left(\ell^{2} \sqrt{n}\right)$ has degree $\ell^{2}$ over $\mathbf{Q}$. Then the normal extension $\mathbf{M}_{2} / K_{0}=\mathbf{Q}\left(\sqrt[\ell^{2}]{n}, \ell^{2} \sqrt{1}\right) / \mathbf{Q}$ has $G$ as its Galois group and its subfields for $d=1$ are :


Let $h_{\Omega}$ be the class number and $\mathrm{U}_{\Omega}$ the unit group of a field $\Omega$. If

$$
\mathrm{I}\left(k_{1} / k_{2}\right)=\left(\mathrm{U}_{k_{1}} / \mathrm{U}_{k_{2}}\right)_{\text {tor }}
$$

for an extension $k_{1} / k_{2}$ and $\left(k_{1}: k_{2}\right)=\ell$ then $\mathrm{I}\left(k_{1} / k_{2}\right) \neq 1$ implies $k_{1}=k_{2}(\sqrt[l]{e})$ for some $e \in \mathrm{U}_{k_{2}}$ (see [9] §4). There is no such extension of $\mathbf{Q}$ and so $\mathrm{I}\left(\mathrm{K}_{1} / \mathbf{Q}\right)=1$. If $\mathrm{K}_{2}=\mathrm{K}_{1}(\sqrt[l]{e})$ for $e \in \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{K}_{1}}$ then $\sqrt[\ell]{e}=e^{i} \alpha^{\ell}$ for some $\alpha \in \mathrm{K}_{1}$ and $i$ prime to $\ell$. Hence $n=\left( \pm \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{K}_{1} / \mathrm{Q}} \alpha\right)^{\ell}$, which is absurd. So $\mathrm{I}\left(\mathrm{K}_{2} / \mathrm{K}_{1}\right)=1$ also. This simplifies the relations given in [8], theorem 4.4, which correspond to the equations (1.1) and (1.2) :
(1.7) $\frac{h_{L_{1}} h_{\mathbf{Q}}{ }^{\ell-1}}{h_{L_{0}} h_{K_{1}}^{\ell-1}}=\mathrm{Q}_{1} \ell^{-\left(\ell^{2}-5\right) / 4} \quad$ for $\mathrm{Q}_{1}=\left[\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{L}_{1}}: \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{L}_{0}} \prod_{\mathrm{K}_{1}} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{K}_{1}}\right]$.
(1.8) $\frac{h_{L_{2}} h_{K_{1}}{ }^{\ell-1}}{h_{L_{1}} h_{K_{2}}{ }^{\ell-1}}=\mathrm{Q}_{2} \ell^{-(\ell-1)^{3} / 4-(\ell-3) / 2}$ for $\mathrm{Q}_{2}=\left[\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{L}_{2}}: \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{L}_{1}} \prod_{\mathrm{K}_{2}} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{K}_{2}}\right]$.
where the products extend over the conjugates of $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$ over $\mathbf{Q}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ respectively.

Bounds are given in [8] theorem 3.6 for the indices $\mathrm{Q}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{Q}_{2}$. In the two cases the given indices I divide $\mathrm{I}\left(\mathrm{L}_{1} / \mathrm{L}_{0}\right)$ and $\mathrm{I}\left(\mathrm{L}_{2} / \mathrm{L}_{1}\right)$ respectively. If $\mathrm{L}_{1}=\mathrm{L}_{0}(\sqrt[\ell]{e})$ for $e \in \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{L}_{0}}$ then $n=e^{i} \alpha^{\ell}$ for some $\alpha \in \mathrm{L}_{0}$ and $i$ prime to $\ell$. Hence $n^{\ell-1}=\left( \pm \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{L}_{0} / \mathbf{Q}} \alpha\right)^{\ell}$ which is not possible. Thus $\mathrm{I}\left(\mathrm{L}_{1} / \mathrm{L}_{0}\right)=1$. Also, if $\mathrm{L}_{2}=\mathrm{L}_{1}(\sqrt[l]{e})$ for $e \in \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{L}_{1}}$ then $\sqrt[\ell]{n}=e^{i} \alpha^{\ell}$ for some $\alpha \in \mathrm{L}_{1}$ and $i$ prime to $\ell$. Hence $n^{\ell-1}=\left( \pm \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{L}_{1} / \mathbf{Q}} \alpha\right)^{\ell}$ which again is not possible. Therefore $\mathrm{I}\left(\mathrm{L}_{2} / \mathrm{L}_{1}\right)=1$. These remarks and [8] yield that :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Q}_{1} \text { divides } \ell^{(l-1)(\ell-2) / 2} \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Q}_{2} \text { divides } \ell^{\ell((-1)(\ell-2) / 2} \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first of these bounds has already been obtained by Parry for $\ell=5$ in [7]. The second sharpens and generalises that given by Endô in [3] Lemma 3. Formula (1.8) for $\ell=3$ is due to Endô (op. cit. Lemma 2).

## 2. Prime ideals.

Take $\ell=3$ in Section 1 .The aim is to establish which $n$ give rise to a field $K_{2}=\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt[9]{n})$ whose class number is prime to 3 . Every subextension of $\mathrm{M}_{2} / \mathbf{Q}$ is composed of extensions containing a totally ramified prime: either a divisor of (3) or a divisor of (n). Hence the class number of any field
divides that of its extensions in $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ (see [6]). In particular, $h_{\mathrm{K}_{1}}$ divides $h_{\mathrm{K}_{2}}$ and so it is necessary that $3 \nmid h_{\mathrm{K}_{1}}$. For the rest of this article the assumption is therefore made that $n$ is such that 3 does not divide the class number of $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt[3]{n})$. If $n=n_{0} n_{1}{ }^{3}$ where $n_{0}$ and $n_{1}$ are cube free then $\mathrm{K}_{1}=\mathbf{Q}\left(\sqrt[3]{n_{0}}\right)$ and Honda [5] has described precisely the allowable integers $n_{0}$. Without loss of generality, it is assumed that $n_{0}$ is one of the following :
(2.1i) $n_{0}=3$
(2.1ii) $n_{0}=p \quad$ where $p \equiv-1 \bmod 9$.
(2.2i) $n_{0}=3^{i} p \quad$ where $p \equiv 2$ or $5 \bmod 9$ and $i=0,1$ or 2 .
(2.2ii) $n_{0}=p^{i} q \quad$ where $i=1$ or 2 and
$p, q \equiv 2$ or $5 \bmod 9$ satisfy $n_{0} \equiv \pm 1 \bmod 9$.

Here $p$ and $q$ denote distinct rational primes.
In (2.1) there is just one prime ramified in $\mathrm{K}_{1} / \mathbf{Q}$ and $\zeta=\sqrt[3]{1}$ is a norm in $\mathrm{L}_{1} / \mathrm{L}_{0}$. However, in (2.2) there are two primes ramified in $\mathrm{K}_{1} / \mathbf{Q}$ but $\zeta$ is no longer a norm in $\mathrm{L}_{1} / \mathrm{L}_{0}$. It will be convenient to assume that $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ is contained in $\mathbf{R}$ under an embedding of $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ into $\mathbf{C}$ which is fixed from now on; and $K_{1}$ will be the conjugate contained in $K_{2}$. With this convention $\tau^{3}$ represents complex conjugacy on $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ and $\tau$ induces complex conjugacy on $\mathrm{L}_{2}$.

Because $h_{\mathbf{Q}}, h_{\mathrm{L}_{0}}$ and $h_{\mathrm{K}_{1}}$ are all prime to 3 the class number relation (1.7) and the bound (1.9) show that $h_{\mathrm{L}_{1}}$ is prime to 3 and that $\mathrm{Q}_{1}=3$. From (1.8) the 3-components $h_{\Omega}^{\prime}$ of $h_{\Omega}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{\mathrm{L}_{2}}^{\prime} h_{\mathrm{K}_{2}}^{\prime-2}=\mathrm{Q}_{2} 3^{-2} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\mathrm{Q}_{2}$ dividing $3^{3}$ by (1.10). Thus :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { LEMMA. - If } 3^{2} \mid h_{\mathrm{L}_{2}} \text { then } 3 \mid h_{\mathrm{K}_{2}} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The main technique used to discard unsuitable $n$ is the calculation of the ambiguous class number $\mathscr{A}$ of $\mathrm{L}_{2} / \mathrm{L}_{1}$. From (2.4) and [6] one has :
(2.5) Lemma. - i) If $3^{2} \mid \mathscr{A}$ then $3 \mid h_{\mathrm{K}_{2}}$.
ii) If $3 \mid \mathscr{A}$ and $\mathrm{L}_{2} / \mathrm{K}_{2}$ contains just one ramified prime then $3 \mid h_{\mathrm{K}_{2}}$.
iii) If $3 \nmid \mathscr{A}$ then $3 \nmid h_{\mathrm{L}_{2}}$ and $3 \nmid h_{\mathrm{K}_{2}}$.

The 3-component of the ambiguous class number will be denoted by and its value is well-known to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{A}^{\prime}=3^{d-t-1} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

because $h_{L_{1}}$ is prime to 3 . Here $d$ is the number of prime ideals of $L_{1}$ which are ramified in $L_{2}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
3^{t}=\left[\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{L}_{1}}: \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{L}_{1}} \cap \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{L}_{2} / \mathrm{L}_{1}} \mathrm{~L}_{2}\right] \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

So the bound $t \leq 3$ immediately places a restriction on $d$ for which $3 \nmid h_{\mathrm{K}_{2}}$, viz.

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \leq t+2 \leq 5 \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The factorization of prime ideals in $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ is as follows :
If $p \mid n_{0}$ with $p \neq 3$ then $(p)=\mathfrak{p}^{3}$ in $\mathrm{L}_{1}$ since $p \equiv-1 \bmod 3$. Let $r$ be the number of such primes. Then $r \leq 2$ by (2.1) and (2.2) and $r$ is the number of their divisors ramified in $L_{2} / L_{1}$.

If $p \nmid n_{0}$ with $p \equiv 1 \bmod 3$ and $\left(\frac{n_{0}}{p}\right)_{3}=1$ then $(p)$ has six prime divisors in $\mathrm{L}_{1}$. These are all ramified in $\mathrm{L}_{2}$ if $p \mid n$ and this would contradict (2.8). So no such primes divide $n$.

If $p \nmid n_{0}$ with $p \equiv 1 \bmod 3$ and $\left(\frac{n_{0}}{p}\right)_{3} \neq 1$ then $(p)=\mathfrak{p}^{\tau}$ in $\mathrm{L}_{1}$. Let $a$ be the number of such primes dividing $n$ so that $2 a$ is the number of their prime divisors ramified in $L_{2} / L_{1}$.
 number of such primes dividing $n$ so that $3 b$ is the number of their prime divisors ramified in $\mathrm{L}_{2} / \mathrm{L}_{1}$.

Finally $(3)=\left(\mathscr{f}^{\sigma} \mathfrak{T}^{\sigma^{2}}\right)^{2}$ or $\mathfrak{l}^{6}$ in $L_{1}$ according as $n_{0} \equiv \pm 1 \bmod 9$ or not. If $n_{0} \not \equiv \pm 1 \bmod 9$ then (3) has one ramified prime divisor in $\mathrm{L}_{2} / \mathrm{L}_{1}$. If $n \equiv \pm 1$ $\bmod 27$ then $n_{0} \equiv \pm 1 \bmod 9$ and (3) has two ramified prime divisors in $\mathrm{L}_{2} / \mathrm{L}_{1}$, viz. $\mathfrak{l}^{\sigma}$ and $\mathfrak{l}^{\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{2}}$ if $\mathfrak{I}$ is the divisor satisfying $\mathfrak{l}^{\boldsymbol{\tau}}=\mathfrak{I}$. If $n \neq \pm 1 \bmod 27$ but $n_{0} \equiv \pm 1 \bmod 9$ then (3) has three ramified prime divisors in $\mathrm{L}_{2} / \mathrm{L}_{1}$. Let $c$ be the number of divisors of (3) ramified in $\mathrm{L}_{2} / \mathrm{L}_{1}$.

Then (2.8) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
d=r+2 a+3 b+\mathrm{c} \leq t+2 \leq 5 \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. The units of $L_{1}$.

Let $e_{1}$ be a fundamental unit of $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ so chosen that $e_{1}>0$. Then $-\zeta, e_{1}$, and $e_{1}{ }^{\sigma}$ generate $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{L}_{0}} \Pi \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{K}_{1}}$. Since $\mathrm{Q}_{1}=3$ there is a unit $e_{2} \in \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{L}_{1}}$ such
that $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{L}_{1}}=\left\langle-\zeta, e_{1}, e_{2}\right\rangle$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{2}^{3}=\zeta^{a} e_{1}{ }^{\sigma+2} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some integer $a \bmod 3$. It is easy to deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{2}^{1+\sigma+\sigma^{2}}=\zeta^{a} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and further manipulation (see [1] corollary 15.4.1) shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{1}=e_{2}^{1-\sigma}=e_{2}^{1+\tau} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(3.4) Lemma. - The number $a$ in (3.1) satisfies $a \equiv 0 \bmod 3$ if, and only if, $\zeta$ is not a norm in $\mathrm{L}_{1} / \mathrm{L}_{0}$, i.e. $n_{0}$ is of type (2.2).

Proof. - From (3.2) $\zeta$ is a norm in $\mathrm{L}_{1} / \mathrm{L}_{0}$ if $a \not \equiv 0 \bmod 3$. However, if $a \equiv 0 \bmod 3$, then $\zeta$ is not the norm of a unit because $\alpha^{1+\sigma+\sigma^{2}}=1$ for $\alpha=\zeta$, $e_{1}$, and $e_{2}$. If $\zeta$ is not the norm of a unit but is yet a norm from $\mathrm{L}_{1}$ then $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ has a weakly ambiguous ideal class of order 3 by [10] lemma 1.11. This contradicts the class number of $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ being prime to 3 . Thus if $\zeta$ is a norm in $\mathrm{L}_{1} / \mathrm{L}_{0}$ then it is the norm of a unit.
(3.5) Lemma. - Let $m$ be a cube-free product of rational primes which are totally ramified in $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ and suppose $m$ is not the product of a power of $n_{0}$ and the cube of a rational number. Then there is an integer $\alpha \in \mathrm{K}_{1}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
m e_{1}^{ \pm 1}=\alpha^{3} \quad \text { and } \quad m=\alpha^{1+\sigma+\sigma^{2}} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and such that $e_{2}^{\prime}=\alpha^{1-\sigma}$ is a unit for which $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{L}_{1}}=\left\langle-\zeta, e_{1}, e_{2}^{\prime}\right\rangle$.
Proof. - Suppose $m=\prod p_{i}^{a_{i}}$ is the prime decomposition of $m$. Then $\left(p_{i}\right)=\mathfrak{p}_{i}^{3}$ for a prime divisor $\mathfrak{p}_{i}$ of $\left(p_{i}\right)$ in $K_{1}$. Since $K_{1}$ has class number prime to 3 the ideal $\mathfrak{p}_{i}$ is principal, say $\mathfrak{p}_{i}=\left(\alpha_{i}\right)$. Put $\alpha=\Pi \alpha_{i}^{a_{i}}$. Then $\alpha^{3} m^{-1}=\Pi\left(\alpha_{i}^{3} p_{i}^{-1}\right)^{a_{i}}$ which is a unit of $\mathrm{K}_{1}$. So $\alpha^{3} m^{-1}= \pm e_{1}^{b}$ for some integer $b$. Without loss of generality the sign is positive and $b= \pm 1$ because $\sqrt[3]{m} \notin \mathrm{~K}_{1}$. Clearly $\left(p_{i}\right)=\mathfrak{p}_{i}^{1+\sigma+\sigma^{2}}=\left(\alpha_{i}^{1+\sigma+\sigma^{2}}\right)$ so that $m=\alpha^{1+\sigma+\sigma^{2}}$ by the earlier choice of sign. Now

$$
\left(e_{2}^{b \sigma} \alpha^{1-\sigma}\right)^{1-\sigma}=e_{1}^{b \sigma} \alpha^{1+\sigma+\sigma^{2}} \alpha^{-3 \sigma}=1
$$

shows that $e_{2}{ }^{b \sigma} \alpha^{1-\sigma} \in \mathrm{L}_{0}$. Thus $\alpha^{1-\sigma}=e_{2}^{\prime}= \pm e_{2}{ }^{-b \sigma} \zeta^{c}= \pm e_{2}{ }^{-b} e_{1}{ }^{b} \zeta^{c}$ for some integer $c$, so that $\left\langle-\zeta, e_{1}, e_{2}^{\prime}\right\rangle=\left\langle-\zeta, e_{1}, e_{2}\right\rangle=\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{L}_{1}}$.

Notice that such integers $m$ exist if and only if $n_{0}$ is of type (2.2). The lemma itself generalises to pure cubic fields with class number divisible by 3
under the extra hypothesis that ( $m$ ) must be the cube of a principal ideal of $\mathrm{K}_{1}$.

## 4. Norm residue symbols.

In most cases the value of $t$ in (2.7) can be found exactly using the norm residue symbols which Endô has calculated for a basis of $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{L}_{1}}$. The symbols are powers of $\zeta$, which satisfies $\zeta^{-\tau}=\zeta$ and $\zeta^{\sigma}=\zeta$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\zeta, \sqrt[3]{n}}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)=\left(\frac{\zeta, \sqrt[3]{n}}{\mathfrak{p}^{\sigma}}\right)=\left(\frac{\zeta, \sqrt[3]{n}}{\mathfrak{p}^{\tau}}\right) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\left(\frac{e_{1}, \sqrt[3]{n}}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)=\left(\frac{e_{1}, \sqrt[3]{n}}{\mathfrak{p}^{\tau}}\right)^{-1}
$$

So for primes $p$ which decompose as $(p)=\mathfrak{p}^{1+\sigma+\sigma^{2}}$ in $L_{1}$ the convention is that $\mathfrak{p}$ is the divisor fixed by $\tau$, i.e. $\mathfrak{p}^{\tau}=\mathfrak{p}$, and $\mathfrak{p}^{\sigma \tau}=\mathfrak{p}^{\sigma^{2}}$. Endô [3] proves the following lemmas using the properties of the norm residue symbol as described by Hasse in [ 4] and the relations in section 3.
(4.2) Lemma. - If $p \mid n_{0}, p \neq 3$ and $(p)=\mathfrak{p}^{3}$ in $\mathrm{L}_{1}$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\frac{\zeta, \sqrt[3]{n}}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)=1 \Leftrightarrow p \equiv-1 \bmod 9 . \\
& \left(\frac{e_{1}, \sqrt[3]{n}}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)=1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

In case (2.2) $\left(\frac{e_{2}, \sqrt[3]{n}}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)=1$ if $p \equiv-1 \bmod 9$; and

$$
\left(\frac{e_{2}, \sqrt[3]{n}}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)=1 \Leftrightarrow p \nmid m \quad \text { if } p \not \equiv-1 \bmod 9 .
$$

(4.3) LEMMA. - If $p \nmid n_{0}, p \mid n, p \equiv 1 \bmod 3,\left(\frac{n_{0}}{p}\right)_{3} \neq 1$ and $(p)=\left\{\mathfrak{q}^{\tau}\right.$ in $\mathrm{L}_{1}$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\frac{\zeta, \sqrt[3]{n}}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)=\left(\frac{\zeta, \sqrt[3]{n}}{\mathfrak{p}^{\tau}}\right)=1 . \\
& \left(\frac{e_{1}, \sqrt[3]{n}}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)=\left(\frac{e_{1}, \sqrt[3]{n}}{\mathfrak{p}^{\tau}}\right)=1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

In case (2.2) $\left(\frac{e_{2}, \sqrt[3]{n}}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)=\left(\frac{e_{2}, \sqrt[3]{n}}{\mathfrak{p}^{\tau}}\right)=1$.
(4.4) LEMMA. - If $p \nmid n_{0}, p \mid n, p \equiv-1 \bmod 3$, and $(p)=\mathfrak{p} \mathfrak{p}^{\sigma} \mathfrak{p}^{\sigma^{2}}$ in $\mathrm{L}_{1}$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\frac{\zeta, \sqrt[3]{n}}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)=\left(\frac{\zeta, \sqrt[3]{n}}{\mathfrak{p}^{\sigma}}\right)=\left(\frac{\zeta, \sqrt[3]{n}}{\mathfrak{p}^{\sigma^{2}}}\right) ; \text { and } \\
& \left(\frac{\zeta, \sqrt[3]{n}}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)=1 \Leftrightarrow p \equiv-1 \bmod 9 . \\
& \left(\frac{e_{1}, \sqrt[3]{n}}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)=1 \text { and }\left(\frac{e_{1}, \sqrt[3]{n}}{\mathfrak{p}^{\sigma}}\right)=\left(\frac{e_{1}, \sqrt[3]{n}}{\mathfrak{p}^{\sigma^{2}}}\right)^{-1} ; \quad \text { and } \\
& \left(\frac{e_{1}, \sqrt[3]{n}}{\mathfrak{p}^{\sigma}}\right)=1 \\
& \quad \text { if, and only if, } n_{0} \text { is of type }(2.2) \text { or } p \equiv-1 \bmod 9 . \\
& \left(\frac{e_{2}, \sqrt[3]{n}}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)=\left(\frac{e_{2}, \sqrt[3]{n}}{\mathfrak{p}^{\sigma}}\right)=\left(\frac{e_{2}, \sqrt[3]{n}}{\mathfrak{p}^{\sigma^{2}}}\right) \\
& \text { if, and only if, } n_{0} \text { is of type }(2.2) \text { or } p \equiv-1 \bmod 9 .
\end{aligned}
$$

(4.5) LEMMA. - If $n_{0} \equiv \pm 1 \bmod 9, n=3^{e} n$ with $3 \nmid n$, and $(3)=$ $\left(\boldsymbol{f}^{\sigma} \mathfrak{l}^{\sigma^{2}}\right)^{2}$ in $\mathrm{L}_{1}$ where $\mathfrak{l}$ is fixed by $\tau$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\frac{\zeta, \sqrt[3]{n}}{\mathfrak{l}}\right)=\left(\frac{\zeta, \sqrt[3]{n}}{\mathfrak{l}^{\sigma}}\right)=\left(\frac{\zeta, \sqrt[3]{n}}{\sigma^{\sigma^{2}}}\right) ; \text { and } \\
& \left(\frac{\zeta, \sqrt[3]{n}}{\mathfrak{l}}\right)=1 \Leftrightarrow n^{\prime} \equiv \pm 1 \bmod 27 . \\
& \left(\frac{e_{1}, \sqrt[3]{n}}{\mathfrak{l}}\right)=1 \quad \text { and } \quad\left(\frac{e_{1}, \sqrt[3]{n}}{\mathfrak{l}^{\sigma}}\right)=\left(\frac{e_{1}, \sqrt[3]{n}}{\sigma^{\sigma^{2}}}\right)^{-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In case (2.2) $\quad \prod_{i=0}^{2}\left(\frac{e_{2}, \sqrt[3]{n}}{\mathfrak{l}^{\sigma^{i}}}\right)=\left(\frac{e_{1}, \sqrt[3]{n}}{\mathfrak{l}^{\sigma}}\right)^{-1} \neq 1$.
Proof. - The result for $\zeta$ is as given by Endô, and the first claim about $e_{1}$ is immediate from (4.1). For $e_{2}$ in case (2.2) Endô has shown that

$$
\prod_{i=0}^{2}\left(\frac{e_{2}, \sqrt[3]{n}}{\mathfrak{l}^{\sigma^{i}}}\right)=\left(\frac{m, \zeta}{\mathfrak{l}}\right)
$$

Now $\Pi\left(\frac{\zeta, \sqrt[3]{n}}{\mathfrak{l}^{\sigma^{i}}}\right)=\Pi\left(\frac{e_{1}, \sqrt[3]{n}}{\mathfrak{l}^{\sigma^{i}}}\right)=1$ and so $e_{2}^{\prime}$ can be changed by powers of $\zeta$ and $e_{1}$ to give

$$
\prod_{i}\left(\frac{e_{2}, \sqrt[3]{n}}{\mathfrak{l}^{i}}\right)=\left(\frac{m, \zeta}{\mathfrak{l}}\right)^{\mp 1}
$$

where, by the proof of (3.5), the sign is minus the undefined sign in (3.6). Also from (3.6) with the same ambiguity of sign,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\frac{e_{1}, \sqrt[3]{n}}{\mathfrak{l}^{\sigma}}\right) & =\left(\frac{e_{1}, \sqrt[3]{n}}{\mathfrak{l}^{\sigma}}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{e_{1}, \sqrt[3]{n}}{\mathfrak{l}^{\sigma^{2}}}\right)^{-2} \\
& =\left(\frac{m, \sqrt[3]{n}}{\mathfrak{l}^{\sigma}}\right)^{\mp 2}\left(\frac{m, \sqrt[3]{n}}{\mathfrak{l}^{\sigma^{2}}}\right)^{ \pm 2} \\
& =\left(\frac{m, \zeta^{-13} \sqrt{n}}{\mathfrak{l}}\right)^{\mp 2}\left(\frac{m, \zeta \sqrt[3]{n}}{\mathfrak{l}}\right)^{ \pm 2}=\left(\frac{m, \zeta}{\mathfrak{l}}\right)^{ \pm 1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, (3) is not totally ramified in $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ as $n_{0} \equiv \pm 1 \bmod 9$ and so 3 is not a factor of $m$. Thus, $m$ is a product of divisors of $n_{0}$, and, by (2.2), $m \equiv \pm 1 \bmod 9$ if, and only if, $m$ is a cube times a power of $n_{0}$. However, such an $m$ does not satisfy the hypotheses of (3.5), and therefore $m \not \equiv \pm 1$ $\bmod 9$. Hence

$$
\left(\frac{m, \zeta}{\mathfrak{l}}\right)=\zeta^{\left(m^{2}-1\right) / 3} \neq 1
$$

(4.6) LEMMA. - If $n_{0} \not \equiv \pm 1 \bmod 9, n=3^{e} n^{\prime}$ with $3 \nmid n$, and $(3)=\mathfrak{r}^{6}$ in $\mathrm{L}_{1}$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\frac{\zeta, \sqrt[3]{n}}{\mathfrak{l}}\right)=1 \Leftrightarrow n^{\prime} \equiv \pm 1 \bmod 9 \\
& \left(\frac{e_{1}, \sqrt[3]{n}}{\mathfrak{l}}\right)=1
\end{aligned}
$$

In case (2.2),

$$
\left(\frac{e_{2}^{\prime}, \sqrt[3]{n}}{\mathfrak{l}}\right)=1 \Leftrightarrow m^{\prime} \equiv \pm 1 \bmod 9 \text { where } m=3^{f} m^{\prime} \text { with } 3 \nmid m^{\prime}
$$

(4.7) Lemma. - In case (2.2) with $n_{0} \equiv \pm 1 \bmod 9$ the only units of $\mathrm{L}_{1}$ which are norms are the cubes.

Proof. - Suppose $e=\zeta^{i} e_{1}^{j} e_{2}^{k}$ is a norm. Then

$$
1=\prod_{i}\left(\frac{e, \sqrt[3]{n}}{\mathfrak{r}^{\sigma^{i}}}\right)=\left(\frac{e_{1}, \sqrt[3]{n}}{\mathfrak{l}^{\sigma}}\right)^{-k}
$$

by (4.5). Hence $k \equiv 0 \bmod 3$ by (4.5). So

$$
1=\left(\frac{e, \sqrt[3]{n}}{\mathfrak{l}}\right)\left(\frac{e, \sqrt[3]{n}}{\mathfrak{l}^{\sigma}}\right)^{-1}=\left(\frac{e_{1}, \sqrt[3]{n}}{\mathfrak{l}^{\sigma}}\right)^{-j}
$$

by (4.5). Hence $j \equiv 0 \bmod 3$ by (4.5). So

$$
1=\left(\frac{e, \sqrt[3]{n}}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)=\left(\frac{\zeta, \sqrt[3]{n}}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)^{i}
$$

for a prime divisor $\mathfrak{p}$ of $p \mid n_{0}$. Hence $i \equiv 0 \bmod 3$ by (4.2) as $p \not \equiv \pm 1 \bmod 9$.
(4.8) Lemma. - In case (2.2) with $n_{0} \equiv \pm 1 \bmod 9$ suppose $n$ has no prime factor $p \equiv 1 \bmod 3$ with $\left(\frac{n_{0}}{p}\right)_{3}=1$. Let e'2 correspond to $m=3$. If $e_{2}^{\prime}$ is a norm then the units of $\mathrm{L}_{1}$ which are norms are cubes times powers of $e_{1}$ and $e_{2}^{\prime}$. If $e_{2}^{\prime}$ is not a norm, the units of $\mathrm{L}_{1}$ which are norms are cubes times powers of $e_{1}$. In particular, the former case holds, i.e. $e_{2}{ }_{2}$ is a norm, when $n$ has no factor $p \equiv-1 \bmod 3$.

Proof. - It is readily seen that $e_{1}$ is a norm and that when $n$ has no factor $p \equiv-1 \bmod 3$ then $e_{2}^{\prime}$ is also a norm. Since $\left(\frac{\zeta, \sqrt[3]{n}}{\Upsilon}\right) \neq 1$ in (4.6) it is clear that no linear combination of $e_{2}^{\prime}$ and $\zeta$ can be a norm except possibly cubes times powers of $e^{\prime}{ }_{2}$.
(4.9) Lemma. - In case (2.1) if $\zeta$ is not a norm in $\mathrm{L}_{2} / \mathrm{L}_{1}$ then the only units of $\mathrm{L}_{1}$ which are norms are the cubes.

Proof. - From (3.1) and (3.4) $e_{2}^{3}=\zeta^{ \pm 1} e_{1}^{\sigma+2}$. Hence $\zeta$ not a norm $\Rightarrow$ $e_{1}{ }^{\sigma+2}$ not a norm $\Rightarrow\left(\zeta^{i} e_{1}\right)^{\sigma+2}$ not a norm $\Rightarrow \zeta^{i} e_{1}$ not a norm, for any integer $i$.

Choose a prime $\mathfrak{p}$ in $\mathrm{L}_{1}$ for which $\left(\frac{e_{1}, \sqrt[3]{n}}{\mathfrak{p}}\right) \neq 1$. By (4.1) certainly $\mathfrak{p}^{\tau} \neq \mathfrak{p}$. Let $e=\zeta^{i} e_{1}^{j} e_{2}^{k}$ be a general unit of $\mathrm{L}_{1}$. Then

$$
\left(\frac{e^{1-\sigma}, \sqrt[3]{n}}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)=\left(\frac{e_{1}^{1-\sigma}, \sqrt[3]{n}}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)^{j}\left(\frac{e_{2}^{1-\sigma}, \sqrt[3]{n}}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)^{k}=\left(\frac{\zeta, \sqrt[3]{n}}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)^{a j}\left(\frac{e_{1}, \sqrt[3]{n}}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)^{k}
$$

by (3.1) and (3.3), and

$$
\left(\frac{e^{1-\sigma}, \sqrt[3]{n}}{\mathfrak{p}^{\tau}}\right)=\left(\frac{\zeta, \sqrt[3]{n}}{\mathfrak{p}^{\tau}}\right)^{a j}\left(\frac{e_{1}, \sqrt[3]{n}}{\mathfrak{p}^{\tau}}\right)^{k}=\left(\frac{\zeta, \sqrt[3]{n}}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)^{a j}\left(\frac{e_{1}, \sqrt[3]{n}}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)^{-k}
$$

by (4.1). These expressions are distinct and so they cannot both be equal to 1 if $k \not \equiv 0 \bmod 3$. Thus $e$ a norm $\Rightarrow e^{1-\sigma}$ a norm $\Rightarrow k \equiv 0 \bmod 3 \Rightarrow \zeta^{i} e_{1}^{j} \mathrm{a}$ norm $\Rightarrow i \equiv \mathrm{j} \equiv 0 \bmod 3$ by the initial remarks. Therefore $e$ is a cube if it is a norm.
(4.10) LEMMA. - In case (2.1) if $\zeta$ is a norm in $\mathrm{L}_{2} / \mathrm{L}_{1}$ but $e_{1}$ is not a norm, then the only units of $\mathrm{L}_{1}$ which are norms are cubes times a power of $\zeta$.

Proof. - Choose a prime $\mathfrak{p}$ in $\mathrm{L}_{1}$ for which $\left(\frac{e_{1}, \sqrt[3]{n}}{\mathfrak{p}}\right) \neq 1$. Then for $e=\zeta^{i} e_{1}^{j} e_{2}{ }^{k}$ the proof of (4.9) yields $k \equiv 0 \bmod 3$ if $e$ is a norm. So $\zeta^{i} e_{1}^{j}$ is a norm in that case and consequently $e_{1}{ }^{j}$ is a norm because $\zeta$ is. Thus $j \equiv 0$ $\bmod 3$ also, which proves the statement.

## 5. The class number of $\mathbf{K}_{2}$.

Recall from $\S 2$ the definitions of $r, a, b$, and $c$ as the numbers of certain primes which ramify in $\mathrm{L}_{2} / \mathrm{L}_{1}$. The value of $c(=1,2$ or 3$)$ places certain congruence conditions on $n$ and $n_{0}$ which restrict the values of $r$. In particular,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { If } c=1 \text { then } r \neq 2 ;  \tag{5.1}\\
& \text { If } c \neq 1 \text { then } r \neq 0 ; \tag{5.2}
\end{align*}
$$

because $n_{0}$ has to be of type (2.1) or (2.2).
(5.3) ThEOREM. - If $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ has class number prime to 3 then $n$ has no prime factor $p \equiv 1 \bmod 3$.

Proof. - The case of $n$ divisible by $p \equiv 1 \bmod 3$ with $\left(\frac{n_{0}}{p}\right)_{3}=1$ has already been excluded in $\S 2$ by (2.8) since such a prime has six ramified divisors in $\mathrm{L}_{1}$. Otherwise suppose $a>0$. The possible values of $r, a, b$, and $c$ satisfying $r \leq 2$ and (2.9) are listed below with the reason why $3 \mid h_{\mathrm{K}_{2}}$. In each case $b=0$ for otherwise $2 a+3 b+c \geq 2.1+3.1+1$ would contradict (2.9). When $c=1$ the extension $\mathrm{L}_{2} / \mathrm{K}_{2}$ has a unique ramified prime and so (2.5ii) can be applied.

| $a$ | $c$ | $r$ | Type of $n_{0}$ | $d$ | $t$ | $d-t-1$ | Reason |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | $(2.1 \mathrm{i})$ | 3 | $\leq 1$ | $\geq 1$ | $\zeta, e_{1}$ norms by (4.3) and (4.6); |
| 1 | 2 | 0 | none |  |  |  | (2.5ii) |
| 1 | 3 | 0 | none |  |  |  | (5.2) |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | $(2.2 \mathrm{i})$ | 4 | $\leq 2$ | $\geq 1$ | $e_{1}$ norm by (4.8); (2.5ii) |
| 1 | 2 | 1 | $(2.1 \mathrm{iii})$ | 5 | $\leq 2$ | $\geq 2$ | $\zeta$ norm by (4.2), (4.3), and (4.5); |
|  |  |  | none |  |  |  | (2.5i) |
| 1 | 1 | 2 | (5.1) |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 1 | 0 | $(2.1 \mathrm{i})$ | 5 | $\leq 3$ | $\geq 1$ | (2.5ii) |

(5.4) THEOREM. - If $K_{2}$ has class number prime to 3 and $n$ has a prime factor $p \equiv-1 \bmod 3$ which does not divide $n_{0}$ then, without loss of generality, $n=3 p^{3}$ or $9 p^{3}$ where $p \equiv 2$ or $5 \bmod 9$. For such $n$ the class number $h_{\mathrm{K}_{2}}$ is prime to 3 .

Proof. - As observed in the previous proof, $a=0$ if $b \neq 0$. So the possible values of $r, b$, and $c$ satisfying $r \leq 2$ and (2.9) are the following:

| $b$ | $c$ | $r$ | Type of $n_{0}$ | $d$ | $t$ | $d-t-1$ | Reason |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | $(2.1 \mathrm{i})$ | 4 | $*$ | $*$ | see below |
| 1 | 2 | 0 | none |  |  |  | $(5.2)$ |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | $(2.2 \mathrm{i})$ | 5 | $\leq 3$ | $\geq 1$ | $(2.5 \mathrm{ii})$ |

The outstanding case of $b=1, c=1, r=0$ corresponds to $n$ of the form $3 p^{3}$ or $9 p^{3}$ with $p \equiv-1 \bmod 3$. If $p \not \equiv-1 \bmod 9$ then $\zeta$ is not a norm by (4.4) or (4.6). Hence $t=3$ by (4.9) and $d-t-1=0$. Thus $3 \nmid h_{\mathrm{K}_{2}}$ by (2.5iii). On the other hand, if $p \equiv-1 \bmod 9$ then $\zeta$ is a norm by (4.4) and (4.6).

Hence $t \leq 2$ and $d-t-1 \geq 1$. Thus $3 \mid h_{\mathrm{K}_{2}}$ by (2.5ii).
From (5.3) and (5.4) the only $n$ containing prime divisors other than those of $3 n_{0}$ and for which $h_{\mathrm{K}_{2}}$ is prime to 3 are those described in (5.4). Otherwise $a=b=0$ and there are the following possibilities :

| $c$ | $r$ | Type of $n_{0}$ | $d$ | $t$ | $d-t-1$ | Reason |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0 | $(2.1 \mathrm{i})$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | Only one prime is ramified. <br> So every unit is a norm <br> by the product formula. |
| 1 | 1 | $(2.2 \mathrm{i})$ | 2 | 1 | 0 | $e_{2}^{\prime}$ is a norm by (4.2) <br> and ( 4.6) for $\mathrm{m}=3 ;(4.8)$ <br> 1 |
| 2 | none |  |  |  | $(5.1)$ |  |
| 2 | 0 | none |  |  |  | $(5.2)$ |
| 2 | 1 | $(2.1 \mathrm{ii})$ | 3 | $\leq 2$ | $?$ | $\zeta$ is a norm by (4.5) |
| 2 | 2 | $(2.2 \mathrm{ii})$ | 4 | 3 | 0 | $(4.7)$ |
| 3 | 0 | none |  |  | $?$ | $(5.2)$ |
| 3 | 1 | $(2.1 \mathrm{ii})$ | 4 |  | $?$ | $(4.7)$ |
| 3 | 2 | $(2.2 \mathrm{ii})$ | 5 | 3 | 1 |  |

This table gives three cases for which $3 \nmid h_{\mathrm{K}_{2}}$, three cases which are impossible, and three cases which are undecided. When $c=3$ and $r=1$, then $n=3^{3 i} p$ where $p \equiv-1 \bmod 9$ and either $i \not \equiv 0 \bmod 3$ or $p \not \equiv-1 \bmod$ 27. If $p \not \equiv-1 \bmod 27$ then $\zeta$ is not a norm by (4.5) and so $t=3$ by (4.9). So $d-t-1=0$ and $3 \nmid h_{\mathrm{K}_{2}}$ by (2.5iii). The following theorem has now been proved :
(5.5) MAIN Theorem. - i) The class number of $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt[9]{n})$ is prime to 3 when $n$ is one of the following :
$n=3$,
$n=3^{i} p \quad$ where $p \equiv 2$ or $5 \bmod 9$ and $i$ is any integer,
$n=3^{i} p 3 \quad$ where $p \equiv 2$ or $5 \bmod 9$ and $i=1$ or 2 ,
$n=3^{3 i} p \quad$ where $p \equiv 8$ or $17 \bmod 27$ and $i$ is any integer,
$n=p^{j} q \quad$ where $p, q \equiv 2$ or $5 \bmod 9$ and $j$ satisfies $n \equiv \pm 1 \bmod 27$.
In each case $p$ and $q$ denote distinct primes.
ii) It may be possible that the class number of $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt[9]{n})$ is prime to 3 when $n$ is one of the following :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n=3^{3 i} p \text { where } p \equiv-1 \bmod 27 \text { and } i \text { is any integer, } \\
& n=3^{3 i} p^{j} q \text { where } p, q \equiv 2 \text { or } 5 \bmod 9, j \text { satisfies } p^{j} q \equiv \pm 1 \bmod 9 \\
& \text { and } i \text { satisfies } n \equiv \pm \pm \bmod 27 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $p$ and $q$ denote distinct primes again.
iii) If $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt[9]{n})$ is not given by taking one of the above values of $n$ then the class number of $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt[9]{n})$ is divisible by 3.

Remark. - The case of $n=3$ is well-known and Endô proves the result for $n=3 p^{3}$ or $9 p^{3}$ where $p \equiv 2$ or $5 \bmod 9$.
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