# THE CLASS NUMBER OF PURE FIELDS OF PRIME DEGREE

## CHARLES J. PARRY AND COLIN D. WALTER<sup>†</sup>

Here we give necessary and sufficient conditions for a prime l to divide the class number of the Galois closure of a pure field of degree l over the rationals. The work extends that of Honda in [4] and that of the first author in [8].

§1. Notation.

*l* an odd rational prime.

t = (l - 1)/2.

m > 1 an *l*-power free rational integer .

 $\sqrt{1}x$  the real *l*-th root of x if x is real, otherwise any *l*-th root of x.

 $\zeta$  a primitive *l*-th root of unity.

 $\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\mathbb{Q}$  the ring of rational integers and field of rational numbers.

 $L = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$ , L<sup>+</sup> the *l*-th cyclotomic field and its maximal real subfield.

 $J = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{(-1)^t}l)$  the quadratic subfield of L.

 $k = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{l}m)$  a pure field of degree *l*.

 $K = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta, \sqrt{m})$  the Galois closure of  $k/\mathbb{Q}$ .

*H*,  $h_l$ ,  $h^+$ ,  $h^*$ , *h* the class numbers of *K*, *L*,  $L^+$ , *J*, and *k* respectively.

 $E, E^+$  the unit groups of L and  $L^+$ .

 $G(\Omega_1/\Omega_2)$  the Galois group of a normal extension  $\Omega_1/\Omega_2$  of fields.

§2. *Extensions by roots of units*. In order to make use of Hasse's formula for the number of ambiguous classes of K/L it is necessary to consider the maximum abelian extension of L which is unramified outside l and whose Galois group has exponent l, namely

$$L^* = L(\sqrt[l]{l}, \sqrt[l]{e} \mid e \in E).$$

For a primitive root  $a \mod l$  set

$$e_n = \prod_{r=0}^{t-1} (\zeta^{a^r} + \zeta^{-a^r})^{a^{rn-r}} \text{ for odd } n \neq 1 \mod (l-1),$$

 $e_0 = \zeta$  and  $e_1 = l$ ,

and for such values of n define

$$L_n = L(\sqrt[l]{e_n}).$$

Then  $L_n$  is independent of the choice of a and depends only on l and the residue of n modulo l - 1. The index of the group  $\langle e_n | n \text{ odd}, \neq 1 \mod (l - 1) \rangle$  in the group

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> Work supported by a Rouse Ball studentship at Trinity College, Cambridge.

 $\langle \zeta^{a^r} + \zeta^{-a^r} | 1 \le r \le t - 1 \rangle$  of cyclotomic units is finite and prime to *l* because the determinant of the matrix  $(a^{2sr})_{1\le r,s\le t-1}$  which relates the two given bases is non-zero modulo *l*. But the cyclotomic units have index in  $E^+$  equal to the class number  $h^+$  of  $L^+$  ([2] §5.2 Theorem 2). Hence, if  $h^+$  is also prime to *l*, then the t - 1 fields  $L_n$  for odd  $n \ne 1 \mod (l-1)$  generate  $L(\sqrt[l]{e^+} | e^+ \in E^+)$ . Kummer's lemma ([2] §3.1 Lemma 4) shows that

$$\sqrt[l]{\zeta} \notin L(\sqrt[l]{e^+} \mid e^+ \in E^+)$$

and

$$L(\sqrt[l]{e} \mid e \in E) = L(\sqrt[l]{\zeta}, \sqrt[l]{e^+} \mid e^+ \in E^+).$$

Also  $\sqrt[l]{l} \notin L(\sqrt[l]{e} | e \in E)$ , because otherwise  $le = \alpha^{l}$  for some  $e \in E$ ,  $\alpha \in L$ , and this is plainly absurd when the norm for  $L/\mathbb{Q}$  is applied. Thus the  $L_{n}$  are independent over L and generate  $L^{*}$  if  $l \not\mid h^{+}$ . As this fact is basic to our investigation, for this section and the next we make the supposition that l does not divide  $h^{+}$ . It is true in all known cases and in particular when l is a regular prime, *i.e.* when  $l \not\mid h_{l}$ .

Define  $\mu$ ,  $\lambda_n \in G(L^*/\mathbb{Q})$  by

$$\mu: \zeta \mapsto \zeta^a, \ \sqrt[l]{e_n} \mapsto \sqrt[l]{e_n}^{\mu},$$

and

$$\lambda_n: \zeta \mapsto \zeta, \ \sqrt[l]{e_n} \mapsto \zeta \sqrt[l]{e_n}, \ \sqrt[l]{e_m} \mapsto \sqrt[l]{e_m} \text{ for } m \neq n.$$

These automorphisms generate  $G(L^*/\mathbb{Q})$ . It is easy to verify that  $\sqrt[l]{e_n} e^{n-1}\mu - 1 \in L$ , from which it follows that  $L_n/\mathbb{Q}$  is normal and  $\lambda_n\mu = \mu\lambda_n^{a^n}$ . If  $N = L(\sqrt[l]{e}) \neq L$  for  $e = \prod e_n^{r(n)}$  and  $N/\mathbb{Q}$  is normal then for some  $b \neq 0 \mod l$  we have

$$e^{b} \sim e^{\mu^{-1}} = \prod e_{n}^{\mu^{-1}r(n)} \sim \prod e_{n}^{a^{n-1}r(n)},$$

where ~ means equality up to an *l*-th power in *L*. Hence  $br(n) \equiv a^{n-1}r(n) \mod l$  for all *n*, and  $r(n) \equiv 0 \mod l$  for all but one *n*. Thus  $N = L_n$  for some *n*.

THEOREM 1. Suppose  $l \not\mid h^+$ . Then the only subfields of  $L^*$  with degree l over L and normal over  $\mathbb{Q}$  are the t+1 fields  $L_n$ . They are independent over L and generate  $L^*$ . Moreover,

$$G(L_n/\mathbb{Q}) \cong \langle \lambda_n, \mu \mid \lambda_n^{l} = \mu^{l-1} = 1, \lambda_n \mu = \mu \lambda_n^{a^n} \rangle.$$

With some simple calculations the condition for  $\lambda_n$  and  $\mu^i$  to commute yields:

LEMMA 2. Each element of  $G(L_n/\mathbb{Q})$  has order dividing l(n, l-1) or l-1 and there are elements of both orders.

§3. Ambiguous classes. We shall follow the notation of Hasse ([3], Ia, §13) for the cyclic extension *K/L* with generating automorphism  $\lambda$ . An ideal class *C* of *K* is called ambiguous over *L* if  $C^{\lambda} = C$ . Let  $\eta^* = N_{K/L}(K) \cap E$  and define  $q^*$  by  $[\eta^* : E^l] = l^{q^*}$ . Lastly suppose *d* is the number of primes of *L* which ramify in *K*.

LEMMA 3. The number A of ambiguous classes in K/L is given by

$$A = h_l l^{q^{*+d-t-1}}$$

*Moreover* A|H, and  $l|A \Leftrightarrow l|H$ .

*Proof.* The formula for A is given by Hasse (*loc. cit.*). The other assertions are proved by Moriya in [7]. The ambiguous classes form a subgroup of the ideal class group of K and so A divides H. For the remaining implication, decompose the ideal class group of K into orbits under  $\lambda$ .

Now let  $\mathfrak{P}$  be a prime ideal in L lying over a rational prime  $p \neq l$  which divides *m*, and recall the assumption that  $l \nmid h^+$  for this section.

LEMMA 4. The Hilbert norm residue symbol

$$\left(\frac{e_n,m}{p}\right)_L$$

is 1, if, and only if, the Artin symbol  $[\mathfrak{p}, L_n/L]$  is trivial. Further,  $[\mathfrak{p}, L_n/L] = 1$  when  $p^n \not\equiv 1 \mod l$ , and  $[\mathfrak{p}, L_0/L] = 1$ , if, and only if,  $p^{l-1} \equiv 1 \mod l^2$ .

*Proof.* The notation and elementary properties for residue symbols are described by Hasse in [3], part II. If  $p^r \parallel m$  then  $\mathfrak{p}^r \parallel m$  in L and so

$$\left(\frac{e_n,m}{\mathbf{\mathfrak{p}}}\right) = \left(\frac{m,e_n}{\mathbf{\mathfrak{p}}}\right)^{-1} = \left(\frac{L_n/L}{\mathbf{\mathfrak{p}}}\right)^{-r}$$

where the final term is the Artin symbol considered as a root of unity.

Let *f* be the least positive integer such that  $p^{t} \equiv 1 \mod l$  and assume  $p^{n} \not\equiv 1 \mod l$ . Then **\mathfrak{p}** has degree *f* over  $\mathbb{Q}$  from which [ $\mathfrak{p}$ ,  $L/\mathbb{Q}$ ] has order *f*. If **\mathfrak{P}** is a prime divisor of **\mathfrak{p}** in  $L_{n}$  with degree *f* over *L* then *f* = 1 or *l* and [**\mathfrak{P}**,  $L_{n}/\mathbb{Q}$ ] has order *ff* in  $G(L_{n}/\mathbb{Q})$ . As *f* divides *l*-1 but not *n*, Lemma 2 ensures that *f* = 1. Hence  $1 = [\mathbf{P}, L_{n}/\mathbb{Q}]^{f} = [\mathbf{P}, L_{n}/\mathbb{Q}]^{f} =$ 

Finally suppose n = 0. Then with f as above  $[\mathbf{\mathfrak{y}}, L_0/L] = 1 \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{\mathfrak{y}}$  splits completely in  $L_0 \Leftrightarrow p^f \equiv 1 \mod l^2 \Leftrightarrow p^{l-1} \equiv 1 \mod l^2$ .

THEOREM 5. Suppose  $l \not\mid h^+$ . If N denotes the number of odd n with 1 < n < l such that  $p^n \not\equiv 1 \mod l$  for all  $p \mid m$ , then

$$q^* \ge N + \delta,$$

where  $\delta = 0$  or 1 according as m has a prime divisor  $p \neq l$  with  $p^{l-1} \not\equiv 1 \mod l^2$ , or not.

*Proof.* The unit  $e_n$  is a norm in K/L, if, and only if,

$$\left(\frac{e_n,m}{\mathbf{p}}\right) = 1$$

for all primes  $\mathfrak{P}$  containing (*m*). Since (*l*) has only one prime divisor in *L*, the product formula for the norm residue symbol permits this prime to be ignored. Lemma 4 ensures that there are at least *N* values of *n* such that

$$\left(\frac{e_n,m}{p}\right) = 1$$

for all  $\mathbf{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Thus at least *N* of the units  $e_n$  are norms and  $\zeta$  is a norm exactly when  $\delta = 1$ . Since the units  $e_n$  and  $\zeta$  generate a subgroup of index prime to *l* in *E* it follows that  $q^* \ge N + \delta$ .

If  $f \mid n$  then the primes p which have order f modulo l divide into two classes according as  $[\mathfrak{p}, L_n/L] = 1$  or not. Lemma 2 and the Tchebotarev Density Theorem prove the existence of infinitely many primes in either class relative to each  $L_n$ . The remainder of this section considers the problem for  $L_t$ . Let t > 1 be odd and suppose the (imaginary) quadratic subfield  $J = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{(-l)})$  of L has class number  $h^*$ . It is well-known [1, p. 300] that  $h^* < l$  and consequently  $l \nmid h^*$ .

#### LEMMA 6. $L_t$ is a class field over J with conductor (l).

*Proof.* Let  $I^l$  be the group of fractional ideals of J which are prime to l and let  $P_l^{(n)}$  be the subgroup generated by elements  $\alpha \equiv 1 \mod (\sqrt{(-l)})^n$  of J. Since the only units of J are  $\pm 1$  it follows from [6, p. 111] that the ray class group  $I^l/P_l^{(n)}$  has order  $h*l^{n-1}t$ . At least one member of the corresponding tower of class fields  $L^{(n)}$  contains L because  $(\sqrt{-l})$  is the only prime ramified in L/J. Hence  $L \subset L^{(1)}$  as [L:J] is prime to  $[L^{(n)}:L^{(1)}]$  and  $L^{(n)}/J$  is abelian. Again from degree considerations and  $l \not\mid h^*$  there can only be one abelian extension N/J of conductor (l) and degree l over L. As the complex conjugate of N also has the same properties,  $N/\mathbb{Q}$  must be normal. Being unramified outside  $\sqrt{(-l)}$ , N is a subfield of  $L^*$ , and Theorem 1 shows that  $N = L_0$  or  $L_t$ . Thus it suffices to prove that the conductor of  $L_0/J$  is not (l). Suppose the contrary and choose  $\alpha \in J$  with  $\alpha \equiv 1 \mod (l)$  but  $\alpha \not\equiv 1 \mod (\sqrt[1]{(-l)})^3$ . Then  $1 = [(\alpha), L_0/J] = [N_{J/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha), L_0/\mathbb{Q}]$ . Hence

$$N_{l/0} \alpha = 1 \mod l^2$$

as  $L_0/\mathbb{Q}$  has conductor  $(l^2)$ . This contradiction establishes the lemma.

LEMMA 7.  $[\mathfrak{p}, L_t/L] \neq 1$  precisely for those primes p which satisfy

$$p^{h^*} = (x^2 + ly^2)/4$$

for some integers x, y with  $y \not\equiv 0 \mod l$ .

*Proof.* After Lemma 6 let **H** be the subgroup of  $I^l$  corresponding to  $L_l$ . Then  $[\mathbf{H} : P_l^{(2)}] = h^*$  is prime to l. Also let  $\mathbf{p}^*$  be a prime divisor of p in J and suppose  $\mathbf{p}^{*h^*} = (x + y\sqrt{(-l)})/2$ . By means of the Artin map,  $[\mathbf{p}, L_t/L] \neq 1$ , if, and only if, l divides the order of  $[\mathbf{p}^*, L_t/J]$ . This holds, if, and only if, l divides the order of  $\mathbf{p}^*$  in  $I^l/\mathbf{H}$ , which holds, if, and only if, l divides the order of  $\mathbf{p}^{*h^*}$  in  $I^l/P_l^{(2)}$ . This holds, if, and only if, i divides the order of  $\mathbf{p}^{*h^*}$  in  $I^l/P_l^{(2)}$ . This holds, if, and only if, i divides the order of  $\mathbf{p}^{*h^*}$  in  $I^l/P_l^{(2)}$ . This holds, if, and only if,  $i \neq 0 \mod 1$ .

#### §4. The class numbers of K and k.

THEOREM 8. The class number of  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[l]{m}, \zeta)$  is prime to l, if, and only if, l is a regular prime and m may be taken as one of the following:

$$l, p_1, lp_2^a, p_3p_4^a$$

where:

$$1 \leq a \leq l-1;$$

 $p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4$ , and l are distinct primes;

 $p_1$  and  $p_3$  have order l-1 or non-trivial odd order (l-1)/2 modulo l, and  $p_2$  and  $p_4$  have order l-1;

 $p_1^{l-1} \equiv 1 \mod l^2$  if  $p_1$  has odd order,  $p_2^{l-1} \not\equiv 1 \mod l^2$ ,  $p_3^{l-1} \not\equiv 1 \mod l^2$ , and  $(p_3p_4^{-a})^{l-1} \equiv 1 \mod l^2$ ;

and, if  $p = p_1$  or  $p = p_3$  has odd order, then the representation  $p^{h^*} = (x^2 + ly^2)/4$  has  $y \neq 0 \mod l$  for the class number  $h^*$  of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{(-l)})$ .

*Remark.* The earlier supposition that  $l \not\mid h^+$  is no longer required here.

*Proof.* If  $l \not\mid H$  Lemma 3 shows that l must be a regular prime and

$$q^* + d - t - 1 = 0$$

Let  $\{p_i\}$  be the set of primes  $\neq l$  which divide *m*, and let  $f_i$  be the order of  $p_i$  modulo *l*. The number of odd  $n \neq 1$  satisfying  $p_i^n \neq 1 \mod l$  is *t*-1 when  $f_i$  is even,  $t - 1 - tf_i^{-1}$  when  $f_i$  is odd, but  $\neq 1$ , and 0, when  $f_i = 1$ . Set  $\delta = 1$  or 0 according as  $\zeta$  is a norm in *K/L*, or not; and  $\delta' = 1$  or 0 according as  $(1-\zeta)$  is ramified in *K/L*, or not. It follows from Theorem 5 that

$$q^{*} + d - t - 1 \ge \left(t - 1 - \sum_{f_{i} \text{ odd}} tf_{i}^{-1} + \delta\right) + \left(\delta' + \sum_{i} 2tf_{i}^{-1}\right) - t - 1$$
$$= \delta + \delta' - 2 + \sum_{f_{i} \text{ even}} 2tf_{i}^{-1} + \sum_{f_{i} \text{ odd}} tf_{i}^{-1} \qquad (*)$$

Thus *m* contains at most two factors  $p_i$ . When there are two, they have order 2*t* or odd order *t* modulo *l* if distinct from *l* and so  $\delta + \delta' = 0$ . Lemma 4 shows  $\delta = 1$  exactly when  $p_i^{l-1} \equiv 1 \mod l^2$  for all *i* and Theorems 3 and 4 of [**9**] show  $\delta' = 0$  exactly when  $m^{l-1} \equiv 1 \mod l^2$ . This yields the conditions modulo  $l^2$  and the exclusion of three primes including *l* dividing *m*. When  $\{p_i\}$  includes just one prime then certainly  $\delta + \delta' = 1$ . Thus the prime must have order 2*t* or odd order *t* and for l|m the condition modulo  $l^2$  is immediate. If only *l* divides *m* then  $\delta + \delta' = 2$ .

The precise conditions have now been found to ensure that the right side of (\*) is zero. It remains to discover the further conditions required for equality. Strict inequality holds, if, and only if, the estimate for  $q^*$  is not exact. This happens, if some prime has order 1 modulo l, or if two primes have the same odd order. Except for  $p_2$  this settles the order of each  $p_i$ . With these restrictions inequality occurs just when  $t \neq 1$ , there is a prime of odd order, and  $q^* = t - 1 + \delta$ . This yields the requirement that  $e_t$  is not a norm, if some  $p_i$  has odd order  $t \neq 1$ . The condition for this is given in Lemma 7.

Suppose therefore that *m* has a prime divisor  $p \neq l$  with odd order. Then

$$\left(\frac{e_t,m}{p}\right) \neq 1$$

where  $\mathbf{p}$  is a prime divisor of (p) in *L* because  $e_t$  is not a norm. If no other prime  $\neq l$  divides *m* and  $\zeta$  is not a norm, then

$$\left(\frac{\zeta,m}{\mathbf{\mathfrak{p}}}\right) \neq 1.$$

A suitable choice of *b* gives

$$\left(\frac{\zeta e_t^{\ b}, m}{\mathbf{\mathfrak{p}}}\right) = \left(\frac{\zeta, m}{\mathbf{\mathfrak{p}}}\right) \left(\frac{e_t, m}{\mathbf{\mathfrak{p}}}\right)^b = 1$$

and makes  $\zeta e_t^b$  a norm. Thus  $q^* = t - 1$  and equality holds in (\*), if, and only if,  $\delta = 1$ . The congruence modulo  $l^2$  for  $p_1$  is now obtained and  $p_2$  cannot have odd order as  $\delta = 0$  in that case. If  $p' \neq l$  also divides *m* then the conditions modulo  $l^2$ show that

$$\left(\frac{\zeta,m}{\mathbf{\mathfrak{p}}'}\right)\neq 1$$

and the order 2t of p' modulo l gives

$$\left(\frac{e_t,m}{\mathbf{p}'}\right) = 1$$

Thus

$$\left(\frac{\zeta e_t^{\ b}, m}{\mathbf{\mathfrak{p}}'}\right) \neq 1$$

for all *b* and  $\zeta e_t^b$  cannot be a norm. So  $q^* = t - 2 + \delta$  and (\*) is an equality. This completes the proof.

COROLLARY 9. Let *m* have one of the forms described in Theorem 8. If  $l \not\mid h^+$  then *l* does not divide the class number of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[l]{m})$ .

*Proof.* By [5] *h* divides *H* because *K/k* contains a totally ramified prime above *l*.

### References

- **1.** R. Ayoub. *An introduction to the analytic theory of numbers* (Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1963).
- 2. Z. I. Borevich and I. R. Shafarevich. Number theory (Academic Press, New York, 1966).
- 3. H. Hasse. Bericht über Neuere Untersuchungen und Probleme aus der Theorie der algebraischen Zahlkörper (Physcia-Verlag, Würzburg/Wien, 1970).
- **4.** T. Honda. "Pure cubic fields whose class numbers are multiples of three", *J. of Number Theory*, 3 (1971), 7–12.

- 5. K. Iwasawa. "A note on class numbers of algebraic number fields", *Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg*, 20 (1956), 257–258.
- 6. G. J. Janusz. Algebraic number fields (Academic Press, New York, 1973).
- 7. M. Moriya. "Über die Klassenzahl eines relativ-zyklischen Zahlkörpers vom Primzahlgrad", *Proc. Imper. Acad. Japan*, 6 (1930), 245–247.
- 8. C. Parry. "Class number relations in pure quintic fields", *Symposia Mathematica*, 15 (1975), 475–485.
- **9.** R. Van der Waall. "On the conductor of the non-abelian simple character of the galois group of a special field extension", *Symposia Mathematica*, 15 (1975), 389–395.

Department of Mathematics, VPI & SU, Blacksburg, Virginia, U.S.A. 12A50: ALGEBRAIC NUMBER THEORY, FIELD THEORY; Algebraic number theory: global fields; Class number.

Department of Mathematics, University College, Dublin Received on the 21st of June, 1976.

*Advertisement*. Do you see MATHEMATIKA regularly? Why not get your library to buy it? You will find that it costs very little and contains many interesting articles. For details see the inside front cover of the latest issue, or write to MATHEMATIKA, the Department of Mathematics, University College London, Gower Street, London WC1E 6BT, England.

Printed by C. F. Hodgson & Son, Ltd., 50 Holloway Road, London N7 8JL